# Coisotropic Submanifolds and the BFV-Complex

Florian Schätz

April 27th, 2009

Florian Schätz Coisotropic Submanifolds and the BFV-Complex

#### Definition (Poisson Manifolds)

- Poisson manifold: manifold M & Poisson bivector field  $\pi$ ,
- Poisson bivector field: π ∈ Γ(∧<sup>2</sup>TM) satisfying integrability-condition,
- Integrability condition:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) imes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$$
  
 $(f,g) \mapsto \pi(f,g)$ 

Lie bracket on  $C^{\infty}(M)$ , i.e.

 $\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}$ 

# Definition (Poisson Manifolds)

- Poisson manifold: manifold M & Poisson bivector field  $\pi$ ,
- Poisson bivector field: π ∈ Γ(∧<sup>2</sup>TM) satisfying integrability-condition,
- Integrability condition:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$$
  
 $(f,g) \mapsto \pi(f,g)$ 

Lie bracket on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ , i.e.

 $\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}$ 

Definition (Poisson Manifolds)

- Poisson manifold: manifold M & Poisson bivector field  $\pi$ ,
- Poisson bivector field: π ∈ Γ(∧<sup>2</sup> TM) satisfying integrability-condition,
- Integrability condition:

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) imes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) o \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \ (f,g) \mapsto \pi(f,g)$$

Lie bracket on  $C^{\infty}(M)$ , i.e.

 $\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}$ 

Definition (Poisson Manifolds)

- Poisson manifold: manifold M & Poisson bivector field  $\pi$ ,
- Poisson bivector field: π ∈ Γ(∧<sup>2</sup>TM) satisfying integrability-condition,
- Integrability condition:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$$
  
 $(f,g) \mapsto \pi(f,g)$ 

Lie bracket on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ , i.e.

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}$$

#### **Examples of Poisson manifolds**

• 
$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \rightsquigarrow$$
  
 $\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \right),$ 

- $\Sigma$  two dim. manifold equipped with any bivector field,
- $\mathfrak{g}$  a finite dim. Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  Poisson manifold,
- symplectic manifolds

#### **Examples of Poisson manifolds**

• 
$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \rightsquigarrow$$
  
 $\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \right),$ 

- Σ two dim. manifold equipped with any bivector field,
- $\mathfrak{g}$  a finite dim. Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  Poisson manifold,
- symplectic manifolds

#### **Examples of Poisson manifolds**

• 
$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \rightsquigarrow$$
  
 $\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \right),$ 

- Σ two dim. manifold equipped with any bivector field,
- g a finite dim. Lie algebra over ℝ: g\* Poisson manifold,
  symplectic manifolds

• 
$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \rightsquigarrow$$
  
 $\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \right),$ 

- Σ two dim. manifold equipped with any bivector field,
- g a finite dim. Lie algebra over ℝ: g\* Poisson manifold,
- symplectic manifolds

 $(M, \pi)$  Poisson manifold; S submanifold;

• vanishing ideal  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  of S in M is

 $\mathcal{I}(S) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) : f|_{S} = 0 \}.$ 

• *S* coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  { $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(S)$ }  $\subset$   $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,

 $(M, \pi)$  Poisson manifold; S submanifold;

• vanishing ideal  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  of S in M is

 $\mathcal{I}(S) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) : f|_{S} = 0 \}.$ 

• S coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  { $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(S)$ }  $\subset$   $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,

 $(M, \pi)$  Poisson manifold; S submanifold;

• vanishing ideal  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  of S in M is

$$\mathcal{I}(S) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) : f|_{S} = 0 \}.$$

• *S* coisotropic : $\Leftrightarrow$  { $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(S)$ }  $\subset$   $\mathcal{I}(S)$ ,

#### Examples of coisotropic Submanifolds

- $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$ ,
- $x \in M$  is a coisotropic submanifold of  $(M, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x = 0$ ,
- g Lie algebra over ℝ;
   linear subspace h of g is Lie subalgebra ⇔
   annihilator h° is coisotropic submanifold of g\*,
- Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds,
- graph of a map φ : (M, π) → (N, λ) is Poisson ⇔ graph(φ) coisotropic in (M × N, −λ + π)

- $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$ ,
- $x \in M$  is a coisotropic submanifold of  $(M, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x = 0$ ,
- g Lie algebra over ℝ;
   linear subspace h of g is Lie subalgebra ⇔
   annihilator h° is coisotropic submanifold of g\*,
- Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds,
- graph of a map φ : (M, π) → (N, λ) is Poisson ⇔ graph(φ) coisotropic in (M × N, −λ + π)

- $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$ ,
- $x \in M$  is a coisotropic submanifold of  $(M, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x = 0$ ,
- g Lie algebra over ℝ;
   linear subspace η of g is Lie subalgebra ⇔
   annihilator η° is coisotropic submanifold of g\*,
- Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds,
- graph of a map  $\phi : (M, \pi) \to (N, \lambda)$  is *Poisson*  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\phi$ ) coisotropic in  $(M \times N, -\lambda + \pi)$

- $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$ ,
- $x \in M$  is a coisotropic submanifold of  $(M, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x = 0$ ,
- g Lie algebra over ℝ;
   linear subspace η of g is Lie subalgebra ⇔
   annihilator η° is coisotropic submanifold of g\*,
- Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds,
- graph of a map  $\phi : (M, \pi) \to (N, \lambda)$  is *Poisson*  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\phi$ ) coisotropic in  $(M \times N, -\lambda + \pi)$

- $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$ ,
- $x \in M$  is a coisotropic submanifold of  $(M, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x = 0$ ,
- g Lie algebra over ℝ;
   linear subspace 𝔥 of 𝔅 is Lie subalgebra ⇔
   annihilator 𝔥° is coisotropic submanifold of 𝔅<sup>\*</sup>,
- Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds,
- graph of a map  $\phi : (M, \pi) \to (N, \lambda)$  is *Poisson*  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\phi$ ) coisotropic in  $(M \times N, -\lambda + \pi)$

# Good description of $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

- group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},
- Hamiltonian vector fields: f function → {f, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of f,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on {S coisotropic submanifold of (M, π)},

# Good description of $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

## • group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},

- Hamiltonian vector fields: *f* function → {*f*, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of *f*,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on {S coisotropic submanifold of (M, π)},

Good description of  $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

- group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},
- Hamiltonian vector fields: *f* function → {*f*, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of *f*,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on {S coisotropic submanifold of (M, π)},

Good description of  $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

- group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},
- Hamiltonian vector fields: *f* function → {*f*, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of *f*,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on  $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\},\$

Good description of  $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

- group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},
- Hamiltonian vector fields: *f* function → {*f*, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of *f*,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on {S coisotropic submanifold of (M, π)},

Good description of  $\{S \text{ coisotropic submanifold of } (M, \pi)\}$ ? Properties?

- group of diffeomorphisms acts on {*S* submanifold of *M*},
- Hamiltonian vector fields: *f* function → {*f*, ·} vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field of *f*,
- *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*: generated by Hamiltonian vector fields,
- group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acts on {S coisotropic submanifold of (M, π)},

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near *S* → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle *E* → *S*,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph $(\mu)$  coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near *S* → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle *E* → *S*,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near *S* → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle *E* → *S*,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph $(\mu)$  coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

fix S coisotropic, study questions only "near" S!

- "linearize" *M* near S → assume: *M* total space of a vector bundle E → S,
- $\mu \in \Gamma(E)$  coisotropic :  $\Leftrightarrow$  graph( $\mu$ ) coisotropic submanifold,
- $C(E, \pi)$  set of coisotropic sections.

Q1) How to describe  $C(E, \pi)$ ? What are its properties?

- action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms → equivalence relation ~<sub>H</sub> on C(E, π),
- $\mathcal{M}(E,\pi) :=$  equivalence classes of  $\sim_H$ .

# $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$

• 
$$C(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{*\}.$ 

special case of a Lagrangian submanifold in a symplectic manifold...

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

• 
$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{*\}.$ 

special case of a Lagrangian submanifold in a symplectic manifold...

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

• 
$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{*\}.$ 

special case of a Lagrangian submanifold in a symplectic manifold...

*symplectic manifold*: Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  s.t.  $(M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

 $L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  is Lagrangian : $\Leftrightarrow L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

- symplectic manifolds special cases of Poisson manifolds,
- Lagrangian submanifolds special cases of coisotropic submanifolds.

## Definition

*symplectic manifold*: Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  s.t.  $(M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

 $L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  is Lagrangian : $\Leftrightarrow L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

- symplectic manifolds special cases of Poisson manifolds,
- Lagrangian submanifolds special cases of coisotropic submanifolds.

## Definition

*symplectic manifold*: Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  s.t.  $(M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

 $L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  is Lagrangian : $\Leftrightarrow L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

- symplectic manifolds special cases of Poisson manifolds,
- Lagrangian submanifolds special cases of coisotropic submanifolds.

## Definition

*symplectic manifold*: Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  s.t.  $(M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

 $L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  is Lagrangian : $\Leftrightarrow L \hookrightarrow (M, \pi)$  locally isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}).$$

- symplectic manifolds special cases of Poisson manifolds,
- Lagrangian submanifolds special cases of coisotropic submanifolds.

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

 $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^*L o L, \omega_{\mathsf{can}}) = \{\mu \in \Omega^1(L): \mathit{d_{DR}}(\mu) = \mathsf{0}\},$ 

•  $\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{\operatorname{can}}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$ 

- answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,
- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^*L o L, \omega_{\mathsf{can}}) = \{\mu \in \Omega^1(L) : d_{\mathit{DR}}(\mu) = \mathsf{0}\},$$

•  $\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{\operatorname{can}}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$ 

- answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,
- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{L}
ightarrow\mathcal{L},\omega_{\mathsf{can}})=\{\mu\in\Omega^1(\mathcal{L}): \mathit{d_{DR}}(\mu)=\mathsf{0}\},$$

• 
$$\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{can}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$$

- answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,
- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{L}
ightarrow\mathcal{L},\omega_{\mathsf{can}})=\{\mu\in\Omega^1(\mathcal{L}): \mathit{d_{DR}}(\mu)=\mathsf{0}\},$$

• 
$$\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{can}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$$

### answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,

- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

$$\mathcal{C}(T^*L o L, \omega_{\mathsf{can}}) = \{\mu \in \Omega^1(L) : d_{DR}(\mu) = \mathbf{0}\},$$

• 
$$\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{can}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$$

- answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,
- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

L Lagrangian submanifold, (Darboux-Weinstein)  $\Rightarrow$  suffices to consider  $L \hookrightarrow (T^*L, \omega_{can})$  [universal model].

 graph of μ : L → T\*L is Lagrangian ⇔ μ is closed as a one-form on L;

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{L} 
ightarrow \mathcal{L}, \omega_{\mathsf{can}}) = \{ \mu \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{d}_{\mathcal{DR}}(\mu) = \mathbf{0} \},$$

• 
$$\mathcal{M}(T^*L \to L, \omega_{can}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{R}).$$

- answers Q1) and Q2) for Lagrangian submanifolds,
- answer in terms of de Rham complex  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- is something similar true for coisotropic submanifolds?!

- $S \hookrightarrow (E, \pi)$  coisotropic  $\rightsquigarrow$ ( $\Gamma(\land E), \partial_{\pi}$ ), *Lie algebroid complex*
- for *L* Lagrangian this complex isomorphic to  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- does  $(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi})$  control  $\mathcal{C}(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ?

● → look at more examples!

- $S \hookrightarrow (E, \pi)$  coisotropic  $\rightsquigarrow$ ( $\Gamma(\land E), \partial_{\pi}$ ), *Lie algebroid complex*
- for *L* Lagrangian this complex isomorphic to  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- does  $(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi})$  control  $\mathcal{C}(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ?

• ~> look at more examples!

- $S \hookrightarrow (E, \pi)$  coisotropic  $\rightsquigarrow$ ( $\Gamma(\land E), \partial_{\pi}$ ), *Lie algebroid complex*
- for *L* Lagrangian this complex isomorphic to  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- does  $(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi})$  control  $\mathcal{C}(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ?

• ~> look at more examples!

- $S \hookrightarrow (E, \pi)$  coisotropic  $\rightsquigarrow$ ( $\Gamma(\land E), \partial_{\pi}$ ), *Lie algebroid complex*
- for *L* Lagrangian this complex isomorphic to  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(L), d_{DR})$ ,
- does  $(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi})$  control  $\mathcal{C}(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ?
- ~> look at more examples!

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

•  $C(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$ •  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$ 

isomorphism induced from 
$$f \mapsto \begin{cases} + & f(0) > 0, \\ f'(0) & f(0) = 0, \\ - & f(0) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Homological prediction:

Lie algebroid complex  $K^{\bullet} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \xrightarrow{x^2(-)} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]) \Rightarrow$ 

• ker
$$(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \xrightarrow{0} 0) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $H^{1}(L^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}.$   
 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \neq H^{1}(L^{\bullet})!$ 

0

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2}, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$
•  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$ 
•  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$ 
isomorphism induced from  $f \mapsto \begin{cases} + & f(0) > 0, \\ f'(0) & f(0) = 0, \\ - & f(0) < 0. \end{cases}$ 

Lie algebroid complex  $K^{\bullet} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \xrightarrow{x^2(-)} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]) \Rightarrow$ 

• ker
$$(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \xrightarrow{0} 0) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $H^{1}(L^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}.$   
 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \neq H^{1}(L^{\bullet})!$ 

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2}, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$
•  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$ 
•  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$ 
isomorphism induced from  $f \mapsto \begin{cases} + & f(0) > 0, \\ f'(0) & f(0) = 0, \\ - & f(0) < 0. \end{cases}$ 

## Homological prediction:

 $\text{Lie algebroid complex} \quad {\mathcal K}^{\bullet}\cong ({\mathcal C}^{\infty}({\mathbb R})[0]\xrightarrow{x^2(-)}{\mathcal C}^{\infty}({\mathbb R})[-1]) \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ 

• ker
$$(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \xrightarrow{0} 0) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $H^{1}(L^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}.$   
 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \neq H^{1}(L^{\bullet})!$ 

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2}, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

$$\bullet \ \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\bullet \ \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$$
isomorphism induced from  $f \mapsto \begin{cases} + & f(0) > 0, \\ f'(0) & f(0) = 0, \\ - & f(0) < 0. \end{cases}$ 

## Homological prediction:

 $\text{Lie algebroid complex} \quad K^{\bullet} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \xrightarrow{x^2(-)} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]) \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ 

• ker
$$(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \xrightarrow{0} 0) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$$
  
•  $H^{1}(L^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}.$   
 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^{2} + y^{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \neq H^{1}(L^{\bullet})!$ 

# S coisotropic submanifold of $(E, \pi)$ , $E \rightarrow S$ vector bundle;

Oh/Park & Cattaneo/Felder: *higher order operations*, i.e.

 $\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1} E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k} E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1 + \cdots + i_k + 2 - k} E).$ 

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

$$\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1}E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k}E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1+\cdots+i_k+2-k}E).$$

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

 $\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1}E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k}E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1+\cdots+i_k+2-k}E).$ 

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

$$\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1}E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k}E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1+\cdots+i_k+2-k}E).$$

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

$$\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1}E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k}E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1+\cdots+i_k+2-k}E).$$

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

$$\lambda_k: \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1}E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\wedge^{i_k}E) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{i_1+\cdots+i_k+2-k}E).$$

- $\lambda_1 = \partial_{\pi}$ ,
- (λ<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k≥1</sub> satisfies family of quadratic relations → L<sub>∞</sub>-algebra structure on Γ(∧E),
- *invariant* of submanifolds of arbitrary Poisson manifolds, i.e. *M* need not be total space of a vector bundle over *S* (Cattaneo/S.),
- connection to the *deformation quantization* of coisotropic submanifolds (Cattaneo/Felder).

Recall:

- consider  $\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y});$
- complex  $K^{\bullet} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \xrightarrow{x^2(-)} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]).$

Higher order operations  $\sim$ 

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]$$
$$(f, g, h) \mapsto \left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)gh.$$

What to do with this piece of data?

Recall:

- consider  $\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y});$
- complex  $\mathcal{K}^{\bullet} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \xrightarrow{x^2(-)} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]).$

Higher order operations ~>>

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[0] \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})[-1]$$
  
 $(f, g, h) \mapsto \left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)gh.$ 

What to do with this piece of data?

Aim: complex 
$$(C^{\bullet}, d) := (\cdots \rightarrow C^k \xrightarrow{d^k} C^{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots);$$

groupoid: category all of whose morphisms are invertible,

- groupoid attached to  $(C^{\bullet}, d)$ :
  - objects: ker  $(d^1 : C^1 \rightarrow C^2)$ ,
  - morphisms from *x* to *y*: { $v \in C^0 : y x = d^0(v)$ };
- set of isomorphisms classes is  $H^1(C^{\bullet}, d)$ .

Aim: complex 
$$(C^{\bullet}, d) := (\cdots \rightarrow C^k \xrightarrow{d^k} C^{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots);$$

- groupoid: category all of whose morphisms are invertible,
- groupoid attached to  $(C^{\bullet}, d)$ :
  - objects: ker  $(d^1 : C^1 \rightarrow C^2)$ ,
  - morphisms from *x* to *y*: { $v \in C^0 : y x = d^0(v)$ };
- set of isomorphisms classes is  $H^1(C^{\bullet}, d)$ .

Aim: complex 
$$(C^{\bullet}, d) := (\cdots \rightarrow C^k \xrightarrow{d^k} C^{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots);$$

- groupoid: category all of whose morphisms are invertible,
- groupoid attached to  $(C^{\bullet}, d)$ :
  - objects: ker  $(d^1 : C^1 \rightarrow C^2)$ ,
  - morphisms from x to y: { $v \in C^0 : y x = d^0(v)$ };

• set of isomorphisms classes is  $H^1(C^{\bullet}, d)$ .

Aim: complex 
$$(C^{\bullet}, d) := (\cdots \rightarrow C^k \xrightarrow{d^k} C^{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots);$$

- groupoid: category all of whose morphisms are invertible,
- groupoid attached to  $(C^{\bullet}, d)$ :
  - objects: ker  $(d^1 : C^1 \rightarrow C^2)$ ,
  - morphisms from *x* to *y*: { $v \in C^0 : y x = d^0(v)$ };
- set of isomorphisms classes is  $H^1(C^{\bullet}, d)$ .

### Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action

- this interpretation of H<sup>1</sup>(C, d) extends to complexes enriched by higher order operations!
- kernel of  $d^1 : C^1 \to C^2$  replaced by *Maurer–Cartan elements*,
- action

$$egin{array}{rcl} C^0 imes \ker{(d^1:C^1
ightarrow C^2)}&
ightarrow \ker{(d^1:C^1
ightarrow C^2)},\ (v,x)&\mapsto x+d^0(v) \end{array}$$

replaced by the gauge-action.

### Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action

- this interpretation of H<sup>1</sup>(C, d) extends to complexes enriched by higher order operations!
- kernel of  $d^1: C^1 \to C^2$  replaced by *Maurer–Cartan elements*,
- action

$$egin{array}{rcl} C^0 imes \ker{(d^1:C^1
ightarrow C^2)}&
ightarrow \ker{(d^1:C^1
ightarrow C^2)},\ (v,x)&\mapsto x+d^0(v) \end{array}$$

replaced by the gauge-action.

### Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action

- this interpretation of H<sup>1</sup>(C, d) extends to complexes enriched by higher order operations!
- kernel of  $d^1: C^1 \to C^2$  replaced by *Maurer–Cartan elements*,
- action

$$egin{array}{rcl} C^0 imes ext{ker}\,(d^1:C^1 o C^2)&
ightarrow & ext{ker}\,(d^1:C^1 o C^2),\ (v,x)&\mapsto &x+d^0(v) \end{array}$$

replaced by the gauge-action.

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ): g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)\right]\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ): g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)\right]\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ):
   g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \boxed{\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)}\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ): g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

Back to  $\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}).$ 

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ): g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

•  $\rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/\sim) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$ • this coincides with  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$  Back to  $\mathbb{R} \oplus 0 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \land \frac{\partial}{\partial y}).$ 

- Maurer–Cartan elements:  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,
- gauge-action → equivalence relation on C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ): g ~ h :⇔ ∃ f & α ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, 1] × ℝ) s.t.

• 
$$f_0 := f|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}} = 0, \, \alpha_0 = g, \, \alpha_1 = h,$$

differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha(t,x)}{\partial t} = \left(x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(t,x)\right) \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x}$$

•  $\sim (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/\sim) \cong \{+\} \coprod \mathbb{R} \coprod \{-\},$ 

• this coincides with  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus 0, (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$ 

- *convergence issues*: higher order operations  $(\lambda_k)_{k\geq 1}$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge E)$  can be nontrivial for infinitly many  $k \geq 1$ ,
- → need some *completion* to define Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action,
- main problem: algebraic completion is formal!
- ~> homotopy Lie algebroid cannot distinguish

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \text{ from } \{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, e^{\left(-\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$$

- *convergence issues*: higher order operations  $(\lambda_k)_{k\geq 1}$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge E)$  can be nontrivial for infinitly many  $k \geq 1$ ,
- → need some *completion* to define Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action,
- main problem: algebraic completion is formal!
- ~> homotopy Lie algebroid cannot distinguish

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \text{ from } \{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, e^{\left(-\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$$

- *convergence issues*: higher order operations  $(\lambda_k)_{k\geq 1}$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge E)$  can be nontrivial for infinitly many  $k \geq 1$ ,
- → need some completion to define Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action,
- main problem: algebraic completion is formal!
- ~> homotopy Lie algebroid cannot distinguish

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \text{ from } \{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, e^{\left(-\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$$

- *convergence issues*: higher order operations  $(\lambda_k)_{k\geq 1}$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge E)$  can be nontrivial for infinitly many  $k \geq 1$ ,
- → need some *completion* to define Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge-action,
- main problem: algebraic completion is formal!
- ~> homotopy Lie algebroid cannot distinguish

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \text{ from } \{0\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, e^{\left(-\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})!$$

# ... goes back to **B**atalin/**F**radkin/**V**ilkovisky (motivated by physical applications)

*S* coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \rightarrow S$  vector bundle;

 $\rightsquigarrow$  (*BFV*(*E*,  $\pi$ ), *D* = [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\cdot$ ]], [[ $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ]]) with [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega$ ]] = 0, differential graded Poisson algebra, i.e. algebraic structure satisfying rules similar to the ones satisfied by { $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ }

## ... goes back to **B**atalin/**F**radkin/**V**ilkovisky (motivated by physical applications)

S coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \rightarrow S$  vector bundle;

 $\rightsquigarrow$  (*BFV*(*E*,  $\pi$ ), *D* = [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\cdot$ ]], [[ $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ]]) with [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega$ ]] = 0, differential graded Poisson algebra, i.e. algebraic structure satisfying rules similar to the ones satisfied by { $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ } ... goes back to **B**atalin/**F**radkin/**V**ilkovisky (motivated by physical applications)

S coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \rightarrow S$  vector bundle;

 $\rightsquigarrow$  (*BFV*(*E*,  $\pi$ ), *D* = [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\cdot$ ]], [[ $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ]]) with [[ $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega$ ]] = 0, differential graded Poisson algebra, i.e. algebraic structure satisfying rules similar to the ones satisfied by { $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ }

## • $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$

 taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

#### Theorem (*S.)*

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (*S.)*

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of *L*<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (S.)

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of *L*<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (S.)

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (S.)

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (S.)

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

- $H^{\bullet}(\Gamma(\wedge E), \partial_{\pi}) \cong H^{\bullet}(BFV(E, \pi), D),$
- taking higher order operations into account needs more work... but can be done →

## Theorem (S.)

The homotopy Lie algebroid and the BFV-complex are  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphic.

- structures cannot be isomorphic as  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras,
- ∃ homotopy category of L<sub>∞</sub>-algebras formally invert certain morphisms,
- in the homotopy category the two structures are isomorphic,
- morally: they are "isomorphic up to a coherent system of higher homotopies".

We had some *geometric questions* in mind:

• Q1) understand  $C(E, \pi)$ , set of coisotropic sections, i.e.

 $\{\mu \in \Gamma(E) : \text{graph}(\mu) \text{ coisotropic in } (E, \pi)\};$ 

- Q2) understand M(E, π), equivalence classes of elements in C(E, π),
- $\rightsquigarrow$  *groupoid*  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)$  with
  - objects:  $C(E, \pi)$ ,
  - isomorphism classes of objects:  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ,

 $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(E,\pi) \approx$  homotopy classes of smooth path in  $\mathcal{C}(E,\pi)$ , generated by Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

We had some *geometric questions* in mind:

• Q1) understand  $C(E, \pi)$ , set of coisotropic sections, i.e.

 $\{\mu \in \Gamma(E) : \text{graph}(\mu) \text{ coisotropic in } (E, \pi)\};$ 

- Q2) understand M(E, π), equivalence classes of elements in C(E, π),
- $\rightsquigarrow$  *groupoid*  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)$  with
  - objects:  $C(E, \pi)$ ,
  - isomorphism classes of objects:  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ,

 $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(E,\pi) \approx$  homotopy classes of smooth path in  $\mathcal{C}(E,\pi)$ , generated by Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

We had some *geometric questions* in mind:

• Q1) understand  $C(E, \pi)$ , set of coisotropic sections, i.e.

 $\{\mu \in \Gamma(E) : \text{graph}(\mu) \text{ coisotropic in } (E, \pi)\};$ 

- Q2) understand M(E, π), equivalence classes of elements in C(E, π),
- $\rightsquigarrow$  groupoid  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(m{E},\pi)$  with
  - objects:  $C(E, \pi)$ ,
  - isomorphism classes of objects:  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ,

 $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(E,\pi) \approx$  homotopy classes of smooth path in  $\mathcal{C}(E,\pi)$ , generated by Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

We had some *geometric questions* in mind:

• Q1) understand  $C(E, \pi)$ , set of coisotropic sections, i.e.

 $\{\mu \in \Gamma(E) : \text{graph}(\mu) \text{ coisotropic in } (E, \pi)\};$ 

- Q2) understand M(E, π), equivalence classes of elements in C(E, π),
- $\rightsquigarrow$  *groupoid*  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{E}, \pi)$  with
  - objects: C(E, π),
  - isomorphism classes of objects:  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ ,

 $\hat{C}(E, \pi) \approx$  homotopy classes of smooth path in  $C(E, \pi)$ , generated by Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

## Theorem (S.)

*S* coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \to S$  vector bundle; 1.) Groupoid  $\hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi)$ :

 objects: D<sub>geo</sub>(E, π) ∋ certain Maurer-Cartan elements of the BFV-complex,

*X* Maurer–Cartan element  $\Leftrightarrow$  [[ $\Omega + X, \Omega + X$ ]] = 0.

• morphisms: homotopy classes of smooth "paths" in  $\mathcal{D}_{geo}(E, \pi)$  generated by gauge-transformations.

2.)  $\exists$  morphism of groupoids  $\phi : \hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi) \to \hat{C}(E, \pi)$ , surjective on objects and on all hom-sets. 3.) Kernel of  $\phi$  can be characterized in terms of the BFV-complex.

#### Theorem (S.)

*S* coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \to S$  vector bundle; 1.) Groupoid  $\hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi)$ :

 objects: D<sub>geo</sub>(E, π) ∋ certain Maurer-Cartan elements of the BFV-complex,

*X* Maurer–Cartan element  $\Leftrightarrow [[\Omega + X, \Omega + X]] = 0.$ 

• morphisms: homotopy classes of smooth "paths" in  $\mathcal{D}_{geo}(E, \pi)$  generated by gauge-transformations.

2.)  $\exists$  morphism of groupoids  $\phi : \hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi) \rightarrow \hat{C}(E, \pi)$ , surjective on objects and on all hom-sets.

3.) Kernel of  $\phi$  can be characterized in terms of the BFV-complex.

#### Theorem (S.)

*S* coisotropic submanifold of  $(E, \pi)$ ,  $E \to S$  vector bundle; 1.) Groupoid  $\hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi)$ :

 objects: D<sub>geo</sub>(E, π) ∋ certain Maurer-Cartan elements of the BFV-complex,

*X* Maurer–Cartan element  $\Leftrightarrow [[\Omega + X, \Omega + X]] = 0.$ 

• morphisms: homotopy classes of smooth "paths" in  $\mathcal{D}_{geo}(E,\pi)$  generated by gauge-transformations.

2.)  $\exists$  morphism of groupoids  $\phi : \hat{D}_{geo}(E, \pi) \to \hat{C}(E, \pi)$ , surjective on objects and on all hom-sets. 3.) Kernel of  $\phi$  can be characterized in terms of the BFV-complex.

## Corollary

• 
$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{geo}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)/\ker\phi\cong\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)$$
,

(set of isomorphism classes of objects of D̂<sub>geo</sub>(E, π)) ≃
 (set of isomorphism classes of objects of Ĉ(E, π)) =
 M(E, π).

This achieves a description of the *groupoid*  $\hat{C}(E, \pi)$ ... ...and hence of the sets  $C(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ .

## Corollary

• 
$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{geo}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)/\ker\phi\cong\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{E},\pi)$$
,

(set of isomorphism classes of objects of D̂<sub>geo</sub>(E, π)) ≅
 (set of isomorphism classes of objects of Ĉ(E, π)) =
 M(E, π).

This achieves a description of the *groupoid*  $\hat{C}(E, \pi)$ ... ...and hence of the sets  $C(E, \pi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi)$ . More structure:

- topology on Γ(E) induces one on C(E, π) and M(E, π), when is M(E, π) Hausdorff, locally path-connected,...?
- Γ(E) regarded as a ∞ dim. manifold, when does this structure descend to C(E, π) or M(E, π)?

Applications? Algebraic condition in terms of (BFV(E), D, [[ $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ]]) that implies stability, *i.e.*  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi) \cong \{*\}$ ? More structure:

- topology on Γ(E) induces one on C(E, π) and M(E, π), when is M(E, π) Hausdorff, locally path-connected,...?
- Γ(E) regarded as a ∞ dim. manifold, when does this structure descend to C(E, π) or M(E, π)?

Applications? Algebraic condition in terms of  $(BFV(E), D, [[\cdot, \cdot]])$  that implies stability, *i.e.*  $\mathcal{M}(E, \pi) \cong \{*\}$ ?